#### Loco-regional Recurrence in Breast Cancer

#### Roma, 27 ottobre 2018

[ M LA A

Alberto Zambelli Oncologia Medica Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII Bergamo

# Definition

#### LR Recurrence

Local: IBTR, chest wallRegional: Lymphnodes

# Incidence of LRR

#### @10yrs after MRM : 5 - 10 %

✓ @10yrs after BCT : 10 - 15 % (higher rate without RT)

Buchanan CL, et al. J Am Coll Surg 2006

#### LRR & OS The four-to-one ratio

LRR impacts on survival

### 4:1

# <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> LR occurred during first <5 yrs</li> <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mortality events occur >5 yrs

**EBCTCG** Lancet 2005

# LR & Survival

# LR and BCM for

#### treatment comparisons

	Breast cancer mortality (%)			
	5-year risk (active vs control)	5-year absolute reduction (SE)	15-year risk (active vs control)	15-year absolute reduction (SE)
(a) <10% (mean 1%)	18·8 vs 19·5	0.6 (0.6)	41·3 vs 42·3	1.0 (0.9)
(b) 10–20% (mean 17%)	21.8 vs 23.3	1.5 (0.6)	44·0 vs 48·5	4.5 (0.8)
(c) >20% (mean <del>26%)</del>	24·9 vs 26·7	1.8 (1.3)	47·4 vs 53·4	6.0 (1.6)
Subtotal (b+c) (mean 19%)	22·4 vs 24·0	1.6 (0.6)	44·6 vs 49·5	5.0 (0.8)
Waighted regression lightly ugh	zoro relating mortality	reduction to recurrence	a reduction F 20/ SEO	abcolute reduction in

Weighted regression line through zero, relating mortality reduction to recurrence reduction: 5·2%, SE 0·8, absolute reduction in 15-year breast cancer mortality for 20% absolute reduction in 5-year local recurrence risk.

Table 2: Breast cancer mortality risks by time since randomisation and by category of absolute reduction in 5-year local recurrence risk (from figure 4).





#### EBCTCG Lancet 2005

### NACT and LR after BCT

- Now RT is usually incorporeted in adj plan and LR is reduced.
- However the increasing use of NACT derived

### LR : + 5.5 %

 Tumor downsized by NACT might have higher LR after BCT
EBCTCG Lancet 2018

# Outcomes for NACT vs Adj CT



10 RCT; 2 RCT no surg (+13%), 8 RCT with surg (+3%)

#### EBCTCG 2018

# The challenge of LRR treatment

- LRR is increasingly uncommon, so evidence to guide practice is limited. Most data from pts treated with MRM/ALND and RT
- Changing treatment landscape has raised new questions:
  - Axillary managment after initial SN bx
  - Repaet lumpectomy
- We are in a real "data-free" zone

# Management of LRR

#### 1. Nodes

- Management of N recurrence after SN bx
- Management of the axilla after IBTR or chest wall recurrence

#### 2. Breast

Repeat lumpectomy without RT

#### 3. Systemic Rx

- SAKK trial
- CALOR trial



### Management of N rec after SN bx

- Mets work up essential prior to any local therapy for LRR
  - ✓ 50% LRR accompanied by distant mets
- Isolatd axillary recurrence is uncommon
  - ✓ <0.6% after neg SN bx</p>
  - 1.1% after pos SN bx, WBRT
- Axillary LRR after SN bx may be due to false neg rate and be prognostically different than LRR after ALND
  Pepels M Breast Canc Res Treat

Pepels M Breast Canc Res Treat 2011 Giuliano A JAMA 2017

### Axillary Recurrence after Neg SB bx

- Dutch Cancer Registry
- 16 centers, neg SN bx 2002-2004

#### 54 Axillary Recurrences

- Median TTR: 30 mo (3-79)
- Salvage ALND: 45 (83%)
- Median N+: 3 (1-24); >3+ 42%

Bulte J, Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013

### **Dutch Experience**

#### 55% OS 5yrs



Bulte J, Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013

# Supraclavicular (SC) lymphatic drainage in the untreated breast



### Management of SC Recurrence

(with no distant mets)

#### Danish Breast Cancer Group Trials 1977-2003 N 45.854

#### 305 (1%) SC +/- other LRR (no dMets)

49% systemic Rx only

26% local + systemic

25% no systemic Rx

19% surgical excision

33% RT

10% surgery + RT

Pederesen A, Breast Can Res Treat 201

#### Management of SC Recurrence (no distant mets)



Pederesen A, Breast Can Res Treat 201

#### Take Home Msg Management of Nodal Rec after SN Bx

#### Axilla

- ALND as a proper approach
- RT as indicated by findings of ALND and according to the initial therapy

Supraclavicular

- Isolated SC rec rare
- Combined local systemic rx

## Breast (I) - axilla

# **Re-operative SN Bx after LR**

Is it feasible and accurate ?

✓ Does it provide useful information ?

#### Reoperative SN Bx after BCT MSKCC Experience



ALND not performed in all cases

Port E Ann Surg Oncol 2007

#### Predictors of Success of Reoperative SN Bx

Initial Axillary Procedure	SN ID Rate	
SN Bx	74%	p=0.0002
ALND	38%	
Initial RT	SN ID Rate	
Yes	50%	p=0.07
No	72%	

Port E Ann Surg Oncol 2007

# Success of Reoperative SN Bx

# SN ID Rate according to the N of Axillary Nodes Initially Removed

# Nodes Removed	SN ID Rate
0-2	80%
3-5	65%
6-8	53%
>9	38%

# Location of Reoperative SNs



Port E Ann Surg Oncol 2007

## Extra axillary drainage in reop SN Bx

Ν	19
Internal Mammary	11/19
Controlateral	5/19

Port E Ann Surg Oncol 2007

#### Reoperative SN Bx for LRBC Systematic Review

N = 692 pts (2002-2011)

Prior Axillary Surgery		Prior Breast Surgery		
SN Bx n=301		BCT + RT n=574		
ALND	n=361	Mastectomy n=62		
None	n=30	Missing n=56		

#### Reoperative SN Bx for LRBC Systematic Review

Axillary Surgery	SN ID Rate (95% CI)	p value
SN Bx	81% (76-85)	<0.001
ALND	52% (47-57)	

Breast Surgery	SN ID Rate (95% CI)	p value
Lumpectomy + RT	N 496 66% (61-70)	NS
Mastectomy	N 45 69% (53-81)	

# Aberrant Drainage Pathway

	Prior SN Bx	Prior ALND	
Succ Mapped	26%	74%	p<0.001
All Pts	14%	33%	p<0.001

# Aberrant Drainage Pathway

Internal Mammary	46%
Controlateral Axilla	34%
Supra/infraclavicular	14%
Intramammary	2%
Interpectoral	2%

19/69 SN metastases in aberrant drainage pathways

### What do Controlateral Axillary mets mean?

AJCC TNM classifies controlat nodal disease as Stage IV in both untrated primary tumors and with local recurrence/new primary and a previously treated axilla

# Lymphatic Drainage after BCT with ALND



Van der Ploeg I, Ann Surg Oncol 2010

### Take home Msg Managment breast – axilla

- An SN can be identified in the majority of pts who had initial SN Bx (81%) and in 50% of those with ALND
- Likelihood of SN identification is related to the N of Nodes removed, irrespective of breast surgical procedure
- False neg rate not well defined (specially after MRM)
- Aberrant drainge common-this has implicatiob for mapping technique



#### Management of IBTR after BCT

#### is lumpectomy alone appropriate ?

# Repeat Lumpectomy Alone for IBTR

#### Median FU 6-244 mo

Author	# Patients	Second LR
Ishitobi	65	25%
Kurtz	52	23%
Dalberg	14	13%
Salvadori	57	19%
Alpert	30	7%
Chen	179	15%
Gentilini	161	29%

# High rates of additional LR NOT the standard of care

Villa J J Surg Oncol 2014

# Systemic Rx

### Systemic Rx after LRR

#### Outcoms after LRR is variable

NASBP 06 : no diff OS Lump vs Mast. @ 20yrs FU Notwithstanding highr rate of IBTR/LRR in Lump alone.

5 recent NSABP trials: cumulative IBRT and the effect on the risk distant disease and death in NP+ve treated with Lump+RT+adj R

N 2669 pts> LRR 424 (15.9%)

Is there any diff b/w IBTR vs. oLRR ?

#### **10-yr incidence of IBTR** (NP) Lumpectomy pts across NSABP trials (B15,16,18,22,25)



Wapnir JCO 2006

#### **10-yrs incidence of other LLR** (NP) Lumpectomy pts across NSABP trials (B15,16,18,22,25)



Wapnir JCO 2006

# NSABP experience (5 trials)



The time of I DD metters ( -5, re ve

# Few direct evidences for LRR Rx

#### What data exist for systemic Rx following LRR

#### NOT MUCH

# RCT in Rx of LRR

4 trials of adj systemic therapy have been reported

- Olsen (1971): Actinomycin D N: 32
- Fentoman (1993): Alpha IFN N:32
- SAKK (1991): Tam N: 178
- Calor (2010): Chemotherapy N: 162

#### SAKK 23/82 N 167



#### @FU >10yrs: Tam improved DFS for ER+ post mastectomy

Waeber M, Ann Oncol 2003

# CALOR trial

#### Chemotherapy (CT) for Isolated Locoregional Recurrence (ILRR) of Breast Cancer in ER-Positive (ER+) and ER-Negative (ER-) Cohorts: Final Analysis of the CALOR Trial

International Breast Cancer Study Group, Breast International Group, NRG Oncology (NSABP Legacy)

Irene Wapnir, Karen N. Price, Stewart J. Anderson, Andre Robidoux, Miguel Martín, J. W. R. Nortier, Alexander H. G. Paterson, Mothaffar F. Rimawi, István Láng, José Manuel Baena Cañada, Beat J. K. Thürlimann, Eleftherios P. Mamounas, Charles E. Geyer Jr., Shari Gelber, Alan S. Coates, Richard D. Gelber, Priya Rastogi, Meredith M. Regan, Norman Wolmark, Stefan Aebi

Lancet Oncol 15:156-163, 2014; SABCS 2012, ASCO 2017 J Clin Oncol 2018

#### FU 9yrs long to capture the adj CT effect

# Methods

- Patients had completely excised ILRR after unilateral breast cancer.
- Endpoints are disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival (OS) and breast cancer-free interval (BCFI).
- From August 2003 to January 2010, 162 patients were enrolled.
- Results at 8.8 years median follow-up are reported here according to ER status of the ILRR.

# **CALOR: Challenges**

#### - INADEQUATE POWER

- Sample size (optimal 977) = 162
- PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS
  - Polychemotherapy recommended 31% monotherapy
- CHEMOTHERAPY BENEFIT UNCERTAIN
  - ~65% hormone receptor-positive
  - > 50% IBTR
  - Average disease-free interval = 5-6 years
  - 42% pts chemotherapy arm and 32% pts no chemotherapy arm had had no prior chemotherapy

## **Baseline Characteristics**

Characteristics		Chemotherapy (N=85)	No Chemotherapy (N=77)
Primary surgery – N (%)	Mastectomy	33 (39)	31 (40)
930 (1969 (F) - 936604)	Breast conserving	52 (61)	46 (60)
Time from primary to	Median (range)	5.0 (0.3-31.6)	6.2 (0.4-22.0)
surgery for ILRR (years)	N (%) ≥ 2 years	72 (85)	65 (84)
Menopausal status	Premenopausal	20 (24)	14 (18)
at ILRR – N (%)	Postmenopausal	65 (76)	63 (82)
Median age at ILRR – yea	irs (range)	56 (38-81)	56 (31-82)
ER of ILRR - N (%)	Negative	29 (34)	29 (38)
	Positive	56 (66)	48 (62)
ER of primary – N (%)	Negative	27 (32)	20 (26)
381 38 46 66	Positive	49 (58)	47 (61)
	Unknown	9 (11)	10 (13)
Treatment for ILRR			
Radiation therapy		31 (36)	29 (38)
Endocrine therapy for ER	positive ILRR	53 (92)	50 (98)
Chemotherapy	Monotherapy Polytherapy	25 (29%) 55 (65%)	Variable chem

# Survival by ER expression



# Survival by ER expression

#### Table 2. Ten-Year Outcome by ER Status of ILRR

		ER-	positive		ER-r	negative
Endpoint	СТ	No-CT	HR (95% CI)	СТ	No-CT	HR (95% CI)
10-yr DFS	50%	59%	1.07 (0.57-2.00) Interaction P-v	70% alue = (	34% 0.013	0.29 (0.13-0.67)
10-yr OS	76%	66%	0.70 (0.32-1.55) Interaction P-v	73% alue = (	53% ).53	0.48 (0.19-1.20)
10-yr BFCI	58%	62%	0.94 (0.47-1.85) Interaction P-va	70% alue = (	34% 0.034	0.29 (0.13-0.67)
				-	/	

# Multivariate Model of DFS

Variable	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P-value	
Location of ILRR			
Breast	(reference group)		
Mastectomy scar or chest wall	0.78 (0.43, 1.43)	0.43	
Lymph nodes	1.01 (0.47, 2.16)	0.98	
Prior chemotherapy (yes/no)	0.86 (0.52,1.43)	0.56	
Interval from primary surgery (per year)	0.92 (0.87, 0.97)	0.0036	
Interaction of Treatment by ER of ILRR		0.024	
ER positive	0.87 (0.46, 1.64)		
ER negative	0.26 (0.11, 0.60)		

# CT effect by ER Status in primary or in IRLL

#### Figure 2. Analysis of ER Status of ILRR and of Primary Among 143 Patients with Known Primary ER Status

Disease-Free Survival	Events/T Chemotherap	otal y No-CT	Н	azard Ratio	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	Interaction P-Value
All Patients*	28/76	35/67	$\sim$	>	0.62 (0.38-1.02	2)
ER Status of ILRR						
Negative	7/28	18/28			0.27 (0.11-0.64	4) 0.015
Positive	21/48	17/39		-	1.02 (0.54-1.94	4) 0.015
ER Status of Primary Tu	mor					
Negative	9/27	12/20	<b>← 8</b>	_	0.40 (0.17-0.95	05) 0.24 (8)
Positive	19/49	23/47		<b></b>	0.75 (0.41-1.38	
*143 patients with kno	wn primary ER-	Status .	25 .5	1 1.5 2	2	
			Favors	Favors		
			Chemotherapy	No-CT		

# **Conclusion CALOR**

- The final analysis of CALOR confirms that CT benefits patients with resected ER-negative ILRR.
- Long-term CALOR trial results do not support the use of CT for patients with ER-positive ILRR who received adjuvant endocrine therapy as part of their assigned treatment.
- The choice of adjuvant systemic therapy for ILRR should be informed by the biological characteristics of the ILRR rather than by those of the primary.
- In this pragmatic trial, participating oncologists were able to select effective chemotherapy regimens.

# Recommendations/Open Questions

#### The main weakness: the small sample size:

СТ

- 1. A modest benefit of CT in pts with luminal LRR could not be excluded.
- 2. In particular for pts with LRR while in ET
- 3. Furthermore, the benefit in case of Luminal B (PgR neg) could not be evaluated
- FR +ve rec: ET
- HER2 +ve rec: HER2 TT

(<5% od pts in CALOR received antiHER2 adj Rx)

TNBC rec:

Duration ? (switch ?)

- Duration ?
- Which type of CT ?